

QUESTION	SCORE (0-4)
Can you provide a source for each <i>claim</i> within your document or speech?	
<b>If so,</b> When was the source created? What are the dates for any references contained in that source? In what jurisdiction does the source originate? What is the size of the population studied? Have you considered how these factors bear on the strength of the evidence you are using?	
Have you considered the human rights and legal implications raised by your proposal and made note of them in your materials?	
<b>List the laws that have relevance to your initiative.</b>	
Are your claims supported by clinical evidence?	
<b>List the clinical evidence that you have relied upon.</b>	
Have you checked Cochrane or other relevant databases for additional guidance on clinical evidence for your policy initiative?	
Have you considered the potential societal benefits or risks of your work under various modalities of thinking? For example: societal, ethical, legal, and philosophical?	

QUESTION	SCORE (0-4)
Is there any evidence that your initiative could lead to harm to patients, consumers, or practitioners?	
<b>If so, what evidence exists to demonstrate harm, and what is the strength of that evidence?</b>	
Have you explained a range of known, proven and unproven health risk factors?	
Have you considered other risk factors? E.g., to the rule of law, privacy, security, and legal compliance?	
Would you satisfy the Gateway Process (or similar) criterion to demonstrate your business case?	
Are members of the community given ample opportunity to comment on the proposed laws?	
Are the consultative documents in plain language (rather than jargon heavy) to accommodate the needs of the audience?	
Have you considered all submissions and feedback from the community, particularly the stakeholders most affected by your initiative?	
	<b>Total LMQ Score</b>
	©Bianca Rose Phillips 2022